

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In re LOURDES KEILAH-A'SONTE DAVIS,
Minor.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, f/k/a
FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

UNPUBLISHED
February 9, 2006

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

INGRID PIERCE,

Respondent-Appellant.

No. 264784
Ingham Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 00-583902-NA

Before: Meter, P.J., and Whitbeck, C.J. and Schuette, J.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from a circuit court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

Respondent does not dispute the trial court's finding that at least one statutory ground for termination was proved by clear and convincing evidence. *In re IEM*, 233 Mich App 438, 450; 592 NW2d 751 (1999). Further, we find that the trial court did not clearly err in its determination that the evidence, on the whole record, did not clearly show that termination was clearly not in the child's best interests. *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 354, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000); MCL 712A.19b(5). Respondent's recent attempts to comply with the primary goals of the service plan did not clearly overwhelm her failure to show improvement during the year the child was under the court's jurisdiction such that termination was not in the child's best interests. *Trejo, supra* at 364.

Respondent's claim that she was denied the effective assistance of counsel was not included in her statement of questions presented, and thus has not been properly presented for review. *Busch v Holmes*, 256 Mich App 4, 12; 662 NW2d 64 (2003). Further, our review of the record fails to disclose any errors that were likely to have been outcome determinative. *People v Watkins*, 247 Mich App 14, 30; 634 NW2d 370 (2001), aff'd 468 Mich 233 (2003).

Affirmed.

/s/ Patrick M. Meter
/s/ William C. Whitbeck
/s/ Bill Schuette